

STAT

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP58-00597A000100070004-2

Approved For Release 2003/12/02 : CIA-RDP58-00597A000100070004-2

EDITORS:

For Immediate Release,
In Whole or in Part,
Or as Background
Material

TASK FORCE

Copyrighted 1956, DEFENDERS OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION, INC., Washington, D. C.

Vol. 2—No. 4

August-September, 1956

INSECURE SECURITY

BY PETER J. HUXLEY-BLYTHE
Fleetwood, Lancashire, England

INTRODUCTORY NOTE, BY EUGENE C. POMEROY.—We are combining in this one issue the August and September numbers of **TASK FORCE**. In order to present whole ~~one~~ of the most important articles it has ever been our privilege to publish.

The author, Mr. P. J. Huxley-Blythe of Fleetwood, Lancashire, is well known as a responsible journalist, and is head of a world-wide news and political intelligence organization. He is well qualified in every way to write on the issues he discusses.

He claims—and documents his statements—that the U. S. Central Intelligence Agency, "C.I.A.", is treasonably inefficient, and that far from defending our Country is actually a menace to our safety.

That U. S. funds, intended to aid Resistance Movements are actually expended on known Communist groups, while legitimate anti-Communist organizations are ignored.

It will be recalled that not long ago a proposed Senate investigation of the C.I.A. was bitterly opposed by Mr. Dulles on the ground of the extreme secrecy of its operations. From the facts revealed here by Mr. Huxley-Blythe, it would appear that such an investigation is imperatively needed, secrecy or no secrecy, if we are to survive as a nation.

The author also sheds some interesting light on the actual effects of "Foreign Aid." He explains how the billions of dollars we have expended in a mistaken effort to combat

Communism are actually turned to advantage by the Soviet regimes! He states, in so many words, "All that the foreign aid program accomplishes is the stabilization of Red power and the destruction of U. S. financial reserves."

From this it would seem that that great American, Gov. J. Bracken Lee of Utah, was right in refusing to pay a income tax, a large part of which would be earmarked for foreign aid.

What are we going to do about all this? It would seem logical that we should demand of our Senators and Congressmen: 1. That a thorough investigation be made of the C.I.A. If conditions as described by Mr. Huxley-Blythe are true—and his facts permit ready substantiation—then this Agency should be overhauled from top to bottom. Certainly we cannot take chances on the proper operation of this Agency, which deals with the very life-blood of our defense.

2. Regardless of which party is successful in the November election, the Congress should refuse ANY FURTHER grants-in-aid ANYWHERE, until such a policy is thoroughly re-examined. What is the sense of bleeding America white in order to build up the Red menace? If you believe this to be correct, TELL YOUR ELECTED REPRESENTATIVES SO!

Additional copies of this double issue of **TASK FORCE** may be obtained from our New York office, 210-E 43rd St., Rm. 325, New York 17, N. Y., at 80 per copy, postpaid.

The recent kidnapping of five Russian anti-Communists from New York has caused considerable alarm throughout the United States and more people than ever before believe that the Central Intelligence Agency, the "CIA," under the direction of Mr. Allen Welsh Dulles, should be subject to a thorough Senate investigation. For by allowing this kidnapping of the five anti-Communists — and the CIA even granted material aid to the Red agents who accomplished it — the CIA is either guilty of treasonable negligence or working for the Kremlin.

Allen Dulles and a Red Spy

Far too many people reject the idea that Mr. Dulles would allow his multi-million dollar agency to be infiltrated with Red agents or allow it to aid the Kremlin as a preposterous accusation, yet certain facts, backed with irrefutable evidence, are sufficient to demand that Mr. Dulles clarify certain matters.

He might first of all explain whether or not he was responsible for the death of many U. S. Intelligence agents behind the Iron Curtain who were betrayed by the German traitor and Red spy, Dr. Otto John.

Just prior to John's defection to the

Soviets he visited Mr. Dulles in Washington as head of the West German Security Office and they had long talks about the secret aspects of their work. There is every reason to believe that Mr. Dulles knew that John was, and had been for many years, a Soviet agent but instead of exposing him he wined and dined him.

We base this belief on a series of articles written by Richard and Gladys Darknes with Mr. Dulles' permission which were later published in the *Saturday Evening Post*. In one of these documented articles the authors proudly announced that Mr. Dulles had received an official citation for having obtained

(Continued on page 4)

TASK FORCE

Official Publication of

DEFENDERS OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION, Inc.1255 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.
WASHINGTON 6, D.C.Publication and Subscription Office
210 E. 43rd St., Rm. 325, New York 17, N.Y.
Subscription Rate, \$4.00 per year
Combination Membership, Subscription, \$6 per year
Extra Copies of each issue, 4¢ each.
(8 pp. issues, 8¢ each.)**OFFICERS**

P. A. DEL VALLE

Lt. General, U. S. Marine Corps, Ret., President

MERRITT B. CURTIS

Maj. General, U. S. Marine Corps, Ret.
Secretary and General Counsel

EUGENE COWLES POMEROY

Vice President and Treasurer

EXECUTIVE COUNCIL

Chairman, Bonner Fellers, Brigadier General, U.S.A. Ret.; L. A. Alford, M.D., San Francisco Medical Assoc.; Claire Chennault, Major General, U.S.A.F. Ret.; Dr. Leo de Forest, Pioneer in Electronics; Mrs. Frances B. Lancer, Indianapolis, Ind.; Tolson T. Price, Publisher; Frederick E. Stevens, Sons of the American Revolution; Mrs. Sally Stratton, New York; Mrs. Louise Ward Watkins, Pasadena, California; Dr. Felix Warner, Author and Lecturer.

Editorial

A friend of TASK FORCE, an officer in the United States Merchant Marine, has just returned from a tour of duty which took him around the world. He tells us that in his opinion, from what he saw and heard in the Far East, our country is losing the much talked about "Battle for the Minds of Men" in Asia. And it is easy to see why.

He made it his business to visit the various libraries maintained by the U. S. Information Service in the larger cities, particularly in Indonesia. What he found there shocked him, and will shock our readers. He states that our libraries were crowded with eager young people, citizens of a new Republic, eager to learn about how things are done in America. And what do they find there? Books that preach the Communist line from cover to cover! Not what made our country the greatest nation on earth, but misleading statements that depict America as the Communists would have them see it.

At our request, he has written an article about what he found in these U. S. Information Service libraries, naming books, authors, and giving quotations with page numbers, showing exactly what subversive material is being handed out to citizens of Asian countries whose friendship we desperately want—and need. Small wonder that we are losing ground in the Far East, when such information is being spread by our own agencies—supported by the taxes of our people.

His article will be published in the next issue of TASK FORCE. Watch for it—you will read it with anger and resentment.

BOOK REVIEW

By P. A. DEL VALLE

TASK FORCE has sounded repeated warnings of the real purpose behind the plethora of "Mental Health" bills in the state legislatures and in the Congress, with especial emphasis on the "Alaskan-Siberia" bill introduced by Representative Green.

We are proud, therefore, to recommend to our readers the booklet: "BRAIN WASHING," A Symbol of the Soviet textbook on psychopolitics published by "TRUTH" Inc., P. O. Box 10188, Fort Worth 14, Texas, with an introduction by a former Communist, Kenneth Cole.

This book contains in its 112 pages all the proof one needs of the sinister purpose behind those increasingly appearing mental health bills. It is gruesome reading, beginning with a speech of welcome to American students by the late head of the MVD, Beria. The "American" students, obviously were there to learn the techniques of becoming "psychopolitical operators," which apparently means the use of insanity, real, induced, or just imaginary, as a political weapon of the first order, in the conquest of this country from within.

You will grasp when you read how persons of power and influence are blind to the will of the psychopolitical operators! How this publicity, their juvenile delinquency makes this a factor in our planned downfall; how religion is undermined and used against us; how the degradation of the populace works to the communists' advantage.

Any red-blooded American patriot who, having read this booklet, fails to explode into action in defense of his country does not deserve his blessings. This is a book which will turn the tide against the enemy within and lead to a breach of relations with those who seek so cynically to destroy our Republic. Price is one dollar for a single copy, fifty dollars for one hundred.

Congressman Bow**Continues Fight Against Status of Forces Agreement**

During the closing weeks of the last session of Congress, the Hon. Frank T. Bow, of Ohio, never lost an opportunity to remind his colleagues of the iniquities of the Status of Forces Treaties and the fact that they violate the Constitutional rights of our service men on duty in foreign countries.

We quote from his statements on the floor of the House, as reported in the Congressional Record, as follows:

May 31, 1956

I want to remind this body that the NATO status-of-forces agreement signed in London in 1951, was a complete abandonment by our country of the rule of international law that the armed forces of a friendly nation, stationed in the territory of another with the latter's permission, are subject only to the laws of their own country. This rule had been recognized and ably restated by our great Chief Justice Marshall in the case of *The Schooner Exchange v. McFaddon* (11 U. S. 116). In our jurisprudence it has never been questioned. It has been cited with approval by many authorities in other countries.

I will read you a paragraph from a brief on this subject which was once prepared by our State Department. I quote:

"To my knowledge, it will have been seen from what has been said above that by the almost unanimous opinion of writers on international law and jurists that have dealt with the subject, members of the armed forces of a state in foreign territory with the consent of the territorial sovereign have jurisdiction from the local jurisdiction in criminal matters. These views are based on and supported by international practice as well as theory."

Our Defense Department too has placed this rule in the United States Manual for Courts Martial, 1951. The manual has never been changed, in spite of the status-of-forces agreements and reads in paragraph 12 as follows:

"Under international law, jurisdiction over members of the Armed Forces of the United States, other sovereign who

✓ certain offenses in the territory of a friendly foreign state in which the visiting armed force is by consent quartered or in passage, remains in the visiting sovereign."

If this right had not been given away by our representatives there would now be no status-of-forces problem.

Defense Department statistics show that up to November 30, 1955, there were 10,249 of our servicemen subjected to foreign jurisdiction. You are supposed to be impressed by the fact that 266 of these were tried and sentenced to confinement, because that is only 2.59 per cent of the total. If only 266 were imprisoned after trials which denied them the privileges of our Constitution does that make the treaty less onerous? And what of the 2,595 who were tried and punished by fine? Does the fact that they paid only in money make the loss of their constitutional rights more bearable?

The report does not tell you that in the same period only three servicemen of foreign countries met with any criminal prosecution in this country. This shows up as ridiculous the reciprocal features of the agreement which its proponents love to prate about.

Neither does the report tell you that in some foreign countries it is common practice to try a *civil claim for damages along with the prosecution for crime*. That is one of the reasons for so many arrests and charges—a form of blackmail. An accused can pay and sometimes secure a waiver of the charges, hoping his own court-martial authorities will ignore the charges. That happens you know. Or the accused can stand trial and have his guilt determined on testimony of witnesses who have a financial stake in securing his guilt.

And is it not true—and I think my colleagues will agree with me—that by the Status of Forces Agreement we set up two classes of citizens in our military? We have the boy who is inducted and serves in this country who has the protection of the Constitution. The Bill of Rights extends to him. If he is sent overseas, he loses those rights. So we have two classes of citizens among our military.

July 7, 1956

The defenders of the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and similar agree-

ments repeatedly point to the reciprocal features of the agreements as justification for their term. They ignore the fact that without some measure of equality there can scarcely be complete reciprocity. This means equality in the number of men affected, equality of justice, equality in the facilities available for use and other physical assets.

I have pointed out before that we are not interested in having the right to try foreign servicemen in our courts. The only ones who consider this an advantage are those who picture a serviceman from another nation committing a terrible crime. Only three foreign servicemen have been subjected to any charges in our courts, whereas over 10,000 of our men have been accused in foreign courts. That number has been increased since last November when the count was made, but recent statistics are not yet available. We know that there are only a few thousand foreign servicemen affected by the agreements, whereas hundreds of thousands of Americans are deprived of important rights through the surrender of criminal jurisdiction to foreign nations.

It is only in foreign courts where we have appeals of convictions by the prosecution which obviously arise out of caprice or prejudice of the prosecutor. These officials think they are better qualified to assess punishment than the court.

Last April in France an airman was sentenced to 2 months' imprisonment and 20,000 francs' fine for assault and battery. The public prosecutor almost immediately notified the Staff Judge Advocate that he had filed an appeal because in his opinion the sentence was not severe enough. It has already been demonstrated that the higher courts of France construe any appeal by the prosecutor as an official demand for increased penalty.

The Japanese are also addicted to this practice, since generally their system of law follows the civil code. It has recently been disclosed that 2 marines who were earlier sentenced, respectively, to 2 and 4 years' imprisonment, which was suspended, were tried again because the prosecution was dissatisfied with

these sentences and appealed the convictions. Fortunately for them the same sentences were pronounced by the second court.

An appeal in Japan amounts to a second trial. The court has considerable latitude in reopening the case and considering new evidence. The court may impose a different sentence. This is double jeopardy. It is pure subterfuge

Even though a serviceman has been tried for an offense in a foreign court the military authorities, under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, may try him also for any violation of rules of discipline arising from the same acts. This is an apparent double jeopardy which would be avoided if the accused had been tried in the first instance by court-martial and all degrees of the offense had been considered at one trial.

The hearings before the House Foreign Affairs Committee disclosed that neither the State Department nor the Defense Department in their negotiations had given any thought to the laws procedure or penalties imposed in other countries. No heed was paid to the centuries old dungeons that might be the place of imprisonment of an unfortunate culprit. The blithe way in which our diplomats surrendered the constitutional rights of our servicemen, while maintaining their own immunity, will always remain a blot on the escutcheon of diplomacy.

We regret that space limitation prevent our quoting Mr. Bow's remarks in full but these excerpt will demonstrate how he has continued his great fight, with unanswerable facts, logically presented. The Record contains numerous expressions of commendation of Mr. Bow by his colleagues, of which the following is typical.

✓ Mr. Budge (R., Idaho): I wish to compliment the gentleman from Ohio on the struggle which he has been making to protect the constitutional right of the servicemen of this Nation, and join with him in the hope that during this session of the Congress the corrective measures which he has outlined can be adopted.

Insecure Security

(Continued from page 1)

a complete report on the war-time German rocket station at Peenemunde. Of course they did not say how this report fell into his possession but the German newspaper *Der Stahlhelm* in the Summer of 1954 printed a detailed account of John's treasonable activities as a member of the Red spy ring "Red Orchestra" and openly stated that the person who gave the Allies this Report was Dr. John, the known Red spy.

Mr. Dulles might also disclose whether or not he received a report from his organization's British counterpart, M.I.5, in 1952 which said that it had "found" a missing file which said John was pro-Communist.

Finally, the C.I.A. has given large sums of money to the German Intelligence organization called "Organization Gehlen" after its director, General R. Gehlen, and in return the former receives copies of all reports collected by the German agency. One must therefore wonder why Mr. Dulles did not read the Gehlen report which said that John was a Red spy and take appropriate action, because John admitted that General Gehlen knew his past record and was trying to expose him. Had Mr. Dulles acted upon this reliable information it is possible that many U. S. agents in Eastern Europe might still be alive.

A C.I.A. Employee

As late as 1951, and perhaps earlier for all that we know, the C.I.A. employed a man whose pro-Communist record stretched back to 1918-19. This was a certain Colonel Martin Himmler who as a Hungarian journalist in Budapest gave his full support to the bloody and infamous Red revolution of Bela Kuhn.

Later, at the end of World War II, Himmler was a Colonel in the "Office of Strategic Services" (OSS) stationed in Germany and in charge of a section looking after Hungarians who fell into U. S. captivity. Here he lived up to his pro-Communist past, as against the policy of the U. S. Army Legal Dept. In Germany he handed over numerous Hungarian anti-Communists to the Reds for execution although none of them were listed as "War Criminals." According to a Hungarian American maga-

zine published in New York not only did Himmler hand over these Patriots but received \$300 per head for those persons the Reds wanted to eliminate.

In answer to this grave accusation Himmler is using the magazine in question for libel but instead of refuting the allegations he states, in his own defense, that he was fulfilling "Superior Orders." His superior officer, head of the European Office of the OSS was none other than Allen Welsh Dulles!

While acting as a C.I.A. official in 1951 Himmler gave a certain Gyula Dezeszky, more commonly known as the "Red Count," because he wrote in a book published in Red Hungary in 1947, "... all that proved good and useful during the revolution in Russia must be realized in Hungary ..." large sums of the C.I.A.'s funds so that the "Count" could publish a newspaper in Mannheim, Germany, called *The Hungarian Communist*.

C.I.A. Spowers a Red Network

Receiving financial and material assistance from the C.I.A. is a Russian emigre organization called "The National Alliance of Russian Solidarists" or more simply by the initials NTS. Yet while the NTS is outwardly an anti-Communist group it has had since its creation, and apparently still has links, with the Soviet Secret Police. This is known to the C.I.A. but it still continues to support it even though many people may die.

In the limited space at our disposal it is impossible to present all the evidence proving that the NTS is part of the Soviet wrecking machine in the West but if the readers should doubt this then they are advised to read the two booklets "Betrayal" and "The Paid Wreckers" which can be obtained from THE DEFENDERS OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION, 1255 New Hampshire Ave., N.W., Washington 6, D. C., priced 50 cents each, post free.

C.I.A. Helps Soviets to Kill Anti-Red Russians

In May, 1953, the NTS sent, with American help, four Russian anti-Communists on a mission inside the Soviet Union. Yet, two years had they been on Soviet soil than the MVD/MGB were waiting for them and the conclu-

sion was obvious; they had been betrayed by the NTS even before they left the sanctuary of the West. As a result the four were executed.

When the news of this betrayal reached the West the NTS hierarchy was forced to act quickly to prevent a complete exposure of its Red role. Therefore, no one was particularly surprised when on September 27, 1953, it was announced that a leading member of the NTS, George Muller, alias Nikita Kborunichy, had been arrested and charged with spying for a foreign power. Yet when the full facts became known about the Muller case proof was forthcoming which showed not only was the arrested man innocent but that both the U. S. State Department and the C.I.A. were aware of this but remained determined to railroad him to protect their protege, the NTS.

The Arrest That Didn't Happen

On the morning of September 1, 1953, three mysterious men, purporting to be U. S. intelligence officers and speaking English, German and Russian, arrested George Muller and confiscated certain documents. Before leaving they also warned Mrs. Muller not to mention the arrest to anyone.

Following this Mrs. Muller was at various times interrogated but there was nothing official about the interrogations. Sometimes she was questioned in a motor car, in an empty house and in a safe. Due to this she began to suspect her husband had been kidnapped by the Soviets as she went along to the head of Frankfurt's political police to see if the Americans had really arrested him. The chief German spy knowledge and the U. S. liaison officer attached to his department could not, or would not, confirm the arrest.

The first real news came on September 27, 1953, when the NTS newspaper *Power* ("Soviet") announced that its leadership had arrested a Red spy in their midst and had handed him over to the authorities with the incriminating evidence. But the authorities, now known to have been the C.I.A., remained silent for six weeks after Muller's arrest, i.e., until October 15.

The American State Dept. ignored the court decision. On October 19, 1953, a gentleman

alled at the U. S. Court in Frankfurt to ascertain what was happening to Muller. He was told by a Court official that it had examined all the evidence laid against him by the NTS and found it was insufficient to warrant a trial and accordingly Muller's release had been ordered on September 29, 1953. To confirm the Court's decision the gentleman was given a document signed by its Chairman, Mr. David White, dated September 29, 1953, and bearing the Reference No. 53-A III 1832, which said that the Frankfurt Attorney General, Mr. Jones, had annulled the warrant for the arrest of Mrs. Muller—this had not been served—and also ordered Muller's release on the grounds of insufficient evidence.

But Muller was not released. The CIA, in defiance of the Court order, held him under an illegal "security arrest" and collaborating with it in this was the State Department's agency in Germany, the High Commission. The latter's part in this travesty of justice was confirmed when the U. S. High Commissioner announced that N. Khorunzhy, alias Muller had been arrested on September 1 and on the 29th of that month transferred to special detention. Of course neither agency pointed out that Muller had already been exonerated from all guilt by a judicial body!

By placing Muller in "special detention" the C.I.A. and State Dept. showed, once again, that they were determined to protect the Red-controlled NTS even if it meant prostituting justice.

Blackmail and Bribery?

Determined to "prove" Muller guilty and so clear the NTS of a charge of treachery the C.I.A. continually tried to make Mrs. Muller sign a confession incriminating her husband and at a later stage even herself. She refused to do this.

Undefeated, on November 9, 1953, an American officer stopped Mrs. Muller in the street and dragged her two young daughters, aged 9 and 12 years, into a waiting car. The reason given for this was that it would prevent Mrs. Muller escaping to the Soviets. Yet the C.I.A. and the NTS knew Mrs. Muller was not a Red agent because in September, 1953, the NTS leader, George Okolovich gave her 300 Marks (\$46)

and the C.I.A. knew of this gift. Having exploded the official reason for kidnapping the two Muller children, only one solution remains: that it was the force Mrs. Muller into signing the confession.

Adding to this there is sufficient reason to believe that the C.I.A. also tried to bribe Mrs. Muller into signing a confession because after her husband's arrest an officer of Mr. Dulles organization, Lt. Colonel Norton, gave her a "gift" of 1400 Marks. (8457).

Perhaps Allen Dulles would now care to get two more journalists to tell the American people why his outfit kidnapped two minors and why his agent gave Mrs. Muller \$457. It won't be before her time committing perjury.

The "Trial"

This was a complete farce. First Muller revealed that he was forced to work for U. S. Intelligence in 1948 when he fled to West Berlin and if he refused the authorities told him he would be extradited.

Next the Court learned that in 1951 Muller had met in Frankfurt a Soviet agent called Hammer, alias Glockner, and he had been taking orders from the latter until the day he was arrested.

Then came the shock! The main witness for the prosecution was none other than the Soviet agent Muller was supposedly working for. Yet when the defense counsel asked Hammer if Muller had been ordered, by the Americans, to work with the Soviets the witness was told not to answer. He might have said Yes! Further questions which were not answered were: was it not correct that Hammer was in receipt of U. S. money prior to his first meeting with Muller; had Hammer been paid by the C.I.A. to testify; had he been duly tried as a Soviet agent; hadn't the Hammer been ordered to keep silent about the NTS's treacherous role in this affair?

Although the NTS had publicly boasted that it had provided the evidence against Muller it was not produced in Court and Lt. Colonel Norton of the C.I.A. was not allowed to take the witness stand even though he had been frequently mentioned as having stated Muller.

During the "trial" at the beginning

light that the only new evidence the prosecution could give the Court since it had ordered Muller's release was the "confession" signed under pressure.

The outcome of the trial was a foregone conclusion. Muller, denied the right to speak in his own defense although he did shout out that the NTS was a Soviet outfit, was sentenced to fourteen years imprisonment while his wife, Elisabeth, received two years.

Sentence Revised

In January, 1955, the U. S. Supreme Court of Appeal in Germany re-examined the evidence against Muller-Khorunzhy, the prisoners being represented by their respective counsels.

Speaking for Muller, his lawyer pointed out that the accusations made against the NTS showed that it was clearly an agent-provocative organization working for the Soviets. This being so it was ridiculous to suggest that Muller was a Soviet agent spying upon a Red network.

Taking this argument into consideration the Court reduced Muller's sentence to five years' imprisonment.

As the Court was prepared to accept the fact that the NTS is an openly accused Soviet organization the C.I.A.'s continued support of this group; its use of terrorist tactics to make a case against an individual to clear the NTS of further suspicion can mean only one thing—that it is heavily infiltrated and long overdue for investigation.

C.I.A. Against Reasons Reds From Exposure

Not long after the NTS-Muller affair two leading German publications, the weekly magazine *Deutsche Illustrirte* and the newspaper *Abendpost* ran a series of articles exposing the NTS as part of the Soviet sabotage network in the West.

These articles were so conclusive that had they not been countered by the C.I.A. in some way then it too would have been exposed and this had to be prevented at all costs.

These articles were so conclusive that now as they produced the Soviet spy, Hammer, alias Glockner, in its case against Muller the C.I.A. produced with true Hollywood-type publicity the

self-condemned Soviet agent, Captain of the MVD, Nikolai Khokhlov.

Due to the world-wide publicity accorded the Khokhlov story everyone is aware that he allegedly came to the West to "assassinate" an NTS leader, George Okolovich, a man who had before the war been condemned as a Red spy by Polish Intelligence.

By introducing Captain Khokhlov, Allen Dulles proclaimed that he was determined to protect the NTS and his own agency. This being confirmed by a pro-NTS journalist writing a series of authoritative articles in the British weekly *John Bull* when he said that not even the C.I.A. operatives who interrogated Khokhlov were satisfied with the integrity of his story.

Long after the Khokhlov affair, and because sections of the Russian emigre press illustrated just how phoney Khokhlov's story was, the NTS leader Okolovich was forced to tell a journalist that not even he believed all that Khokhlov had said. Yet by now the entire world, including the American people, had been hoodwinked by Mr. Allen Dulles who, as we have seen even ignored the opinions of his own men on the spot when they said they were not satisfied with the Red Captain's story.

Now the NTS silences all criticism by pointing to Khokhlov and saying that the organization cannot be Communist because the Kremlin sent "one of its killers to murder its leader!"

Although this particular episode is no longer front page news it is a further example of America's leading security agency supporting an openly revealed Red network and therefore Mr. Dulles should be made to speak. And if he says that he must remain silent for reasons of "security" he should be forcibly reminded that he, and his operatives, are paid servants of the American people and are therefore answerable to them and not just to their own egos.

The West is now waging a struggle for its very existence and one of its first lines of defense against treason is its Security forces which appear to be not only insecure but a menace in themselves.

Should the C.I.A. say that the condemned article does not represent

material against the NTS we can only say that they should read the book, "THE PAID WRECKERS" which, according to the head of the Russian and Communist Centre in New York, contains such damning evidence that the NTS should be made to answer the charges therein.

The preceding paragraphs of this article can leave little doubt in any unbiased person's mind that treason is rampant within the "Central Intelligence Agency," but this is not confined to this organization. Recently in a speech made by Senator Jenner, headed "The Dual Government of the United States," it was disclosed that there is an organized group inside the U.S. Government which pursues a pro-Communist policy in complete disregard to the desires of the American people speaking through their representatives in Washington. Even President Eisenhower had said something peculiar in the Capitol: "there are a number of things wrong with Washington." "At times," he said, "it is difficult to keep in touch with the Nation's thinking." (*Time*, May 16, 1955.)

What the President failed to say, although he alluded to it, was that the people who prevent the Nation's thoughts and desires being put into practice are professional employees who, remaining static irrespective of the controlling Political Party, have amassed such power that they can dictate to all politicians and office holders.

Who Sells the Red "Bill of Goods" to the President?

Numerous authoritative political observers have stated that President Eisenhower was elected by a vast majority because the electorate believed that he would cleanse Washington of the various Red policy-making nests and because he would never compromise with the Communists.

"Nor can we forget that," wrote Dr. Lyman Bryson of Teachers College, recently said: "There are even greater things than peace." By greater things he meant the ideals, the hopes and aspirations of humanity, those things of the soul and spirit which great men in history have valued above material wealth, even life itself.

inhuman existence. Far better risk a war of possible annihilation than grasp a peace which would be certain extinction of free man's ideas and ideals." (General Eisenhower speaking to his students at Columbia University.)

Not only did the President believe what he said then but he confirmed it in his speech on the State of the Union, February 1, 1955. "We shall never acquiesce in the subversion of any people in order to purchase fancied gains for ourselves."

It was these expressed ideas that the American people voted for, but within a few weeks of the newly-installed President's message he was forced to abandon his proclaimed principles and accept a pro-Communist policy of "Containment." Later, when he understood the power of the "Dual Government" he was again forced to alter his policy and this time advocate "Coexistence," the one thing he vowed he would never do.

We say that Mr. Eisenhower was forced because on February 8, 1955, he said, in a message relayed by "Radio Free Europe": "While we maintain vigilance at home and abroad, we must help intensify the will of freedom in the Satellite countries behind the Iron Curtain . . . these countries have known freedom in the past. They now need our constant friendship."

But within one year of this his Government's representative did not even attempt to combat the recognition of the various Red Governments of the Satellites in the United Nations. Or, in other words, in spite of all his flowery phrases the President acknowledged that the Communist tyrants were decent people with whom he was prepared to negotiate. Instead of friendship the enslaved nations received a stab in the back.

Richard Nixon, part of the Red Plan although uninvited, Eisenhower was forced to fly to Geneva in an attempt to save him and allowed General Twining to visit Moscow to show that co-operation was possible between the two countries, he knew that the very same policy which now follows means the ultimate destruction of freedom. He did not know that the satellite of Communism, China, referring to

It seems he said: "To destroy human liberty and dominate the world, Communists use every conceivable weapon: subversion, bribery, corruption, military attack. . . . We must meet this threat with courage and firmness."

To counter the accusation we make here the "Dual Governmenters" explain that since the death of Stalin everything has altered and we can now come to terms with the Kremlin's present leaders. Yet this is treachery of the worst type!

The goaded Marshal Bulganin far from being an honorable soldier on whose word one can rely has never held a military command but was the head of the Red Army Secret Police that was notorious for its brutality. Furthermore, Bulganin, a Communist of long standing, said when speaking at the Warsaw Conference of Satelite countries on May 11, 1955: "The new Government of the USSR will continue the policies of peace based upon the possibilities of co-existence between two worlds of different ideologies, conforming to the doctrines of Lenin."

Now, as any future peace is to be based upon Lenin's ideas it is as well that the U.S. State Department is reminded that the Red Butcher said this: "IN PURSUIT OF OUR AIMS WE MAY, WITH ALL THE POWERS OF DESTRUCTION, COLLABORATE WITH SOME CAPITALIST POWERS. . . . WE MAY EVEN CONTRACT WITH THEM SOME ALLIANCES, TO BRING THEM INTO A FALSE SENSE OF SECURITY. . . . YET OUR AIM IS, AND ALWAYS MUST BE, THE DOMINATION OF THE WORLD." (U. Lenin, "Izbraniye Prosveteniya.")

Sir Winston Churchill Says— Co-Existence Means Death

" . . . A monster has been born into our modern world . . . it possesses the savagery of civilization without its mercy, the fanaticism of religion without God, the unfeelingness of human passions and appetites without any ideal beyond their gratification — and that not achieved."

"I have repeatedly warned my Labor and Socialist friends . . . that they will never get any satisfaction from the Red

Russian Communists. We are in the presence of a sub-human degeneration which, if not luckily inherently morbid, would reduce great nations, nay, all mankind to the conditions of the White Ant. Or again it is a cancer bacillus feeding and spreading itself upon the starving body, thriving by the very process which tortures and destroys its victim.

"Undeterred by this advice, many have tried the experiment. All have been disillusioned. . . . All in turn have sought to slay the clammy hand. All in turn have repelled, injured, infected, or at least defiled by its chill, poisonous sweat. . . ." (London's Daily Telegraph, December 14, 1950.)

As Sir Winston Churchill so correctly said, any form of co-existence with the Soviets leaves the countries infected with the hated Red cancerous growth that will, in time, devour the body; in this case the remaining Free World.

From the above we realize that appeasement can only result in defeat and unless the professional employees are unearthed and a realistic anti-Communist policy adopted then a Red One World State remains but a matter of time.

The West Re-Arms the Soviets

In spite of all the talk in Washington and London about opposing Communism, the West, without the consent of its people, is now saving, for the second time, the collapse of the Soviet regime by increasing its exports to the USSR.

Signs of the Soviets' crumbling economic structure have been evident for the past five years and President Eisenhower reported that there was evidence pointing to an economic crisis inside the Red bloc. Yet instead of the West limiting its exports to the Soviets, an action compatible with true anti-Communism and one which would have forced the Kremlin to devote less to the manufacture of armaments, it increased them.

The United States recently lifted a major part of its ban on exports to the USSR and when Senator Ferguson asked why America should help the Reds out of their difficulties and so prolong the enslavement of large portions of the world, Mr. Stassen, during the last (2003/12/02/00597A000100070004-2) session

was done to turn the Soviet economy to peaceful pursuits.

What Mr. Stassen really meant was that by allowing consumer goods to enter the enslaved countries the Governments could provide a few extras to appease their slaves and at the same time go ahead manufacturing arms that will be eventually used against the United States.

It would be very interesting to learn who told the President to lift the embargo on exports to the Red countries because the persons responsible for giving this "advice" are traitors! As confirmation of this rather emphatic conclusion we quote a letter from the U. S. Secretary of Defense, Mr. C. E. Wilson, to Senator McCarthy:

"This department believes that any contribution to the 'economic potential' often directly and almost always indirectly contributes to any country's military potential."

Soviets Thank U. S. for Foreign Aid

For some years past the American taxpayer's money has been sent to all parts of the world because the same mysterious "advisers" buy that by keeping a country prosperous it will prevent the respective Communist Party membership from increasing. This, instead of combating Communism has become one of its greatest supports and therefore the money is poured down a bottomless drain.

There are two reasons why Foreign Aid gives succor to the Communists.

Firstly, the majority of countries in receipt of dollars have used them to enlarge their industries and as the result of this, the manufactured articles are often sent behind the Iron Curtain to help the Soviet regime. England is a prime example of this and how well ask if by receiving Foreign Aid Britain is made a more determined opponent of Communism. The answer is plain and blunt NO! Britain only ships vast quantities of rice to the Red country Government to deter the masses from the Red

most Parties in the countries receiving dollar-aid tell the people that their Governments are nothing but puppets of Wall Street; that the reason America gives this money is to create a World dominated from Wall Street and unlike the Communist Parties the American financiers will only exploit the workers.

To sum this up briefly (and if the reader desires additional data he can find it in the booklet, "BETRAYAL"), all that the Foreign Aid program accomplishes is the stabilization of Red power and the destitution of U. S. financial reserves.

Reds Plan Phoney Freedom U. S. Plans a Continuation of Communism!

"Today, a third of the human race is in fearful bondage to Communist dictatorship. But we do not regard this as immutable."

"There is, we know, vast human discontent among 800 million people whom International Communism rules."

"That comes from the enslavement of labor, the suppression of religion and of individual initiative and the national humiliation of the satellite countries."

"LIBERATION - NORMALLY COMES FROM WITHIN IF HOPE IS CONSTANTLY SUSTAINED FROM WITHOUT. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE DOING IN MANY WAYS." (John F. Dulles in a Radio-Television broadcast on November 29, 1954.)

Prior to the last presidential election both Mr. Eisenhower and Mr. J. F. Dulles promised to give financial and material aid to the various anti-Communist underground movements behind the Iron Curtain but since they have assumed the helm of America they have repeatedly refused to honor their promises. In fact, far from helping the real anti-Communists, who could rid the world of the Red pestilence if they were given a mere fraction of the pro-Red Foreign Aid money, the present administration has given the cause of International Marxism untold sums.

When this article was first written I said that the Soviets intended to hold "free" elections in various of the Satellites to ease the pressure upon them. This has now become public knowledge and Mr. J. F. Dulles has greeted this Red proposal as a step forward towards

liberty. Yet what the Secretary of State did not say was, that in the event of these "free" elections he and his colleagues are prepared to recognize the various "National Committees" as the only voice of the East-European nations and if this was done then a continuation of Communism would be assured.

The Romanian National Committee

The "anti-Communist" leaders of this group, which finds favor with the State Department is a self-styled Soviet agent called Mr. Visolaiu who was, from 1937 onward President of "The Friends of Soviet Russia," the most active Red outfit in Romania.

While Romanian soldiers were dying in their fight against the Red Army Mr. Visolaiu, working in the Romanian Foreign Department, was supplying the Kremlin with classified information. This he admitted in Paris, France, in 1952.

Not content with this, when his country wanted to surrender at the end of the war and so save some vestiges of self-Government, Mr. Visolaiu deliberately withheld this offer from the Soviets so that the latter could later demand "unconditional surrender."

Working with Mr. Visolaiu is Dr. Sabin Mansila, who during the war was director of Military Statistics yet, as a side-line, the good Doctor had in his basement a secret Red group who issued a Communist newspaper, *Free Romania*.

This same type of individual fills the other so-called National Committees and for this reason not only will there never be unacceptable to their fellow-countrymen at home but the vast majority of the emigrations refuse to have anything to do with them. Yet, in spite of their pro-Communist backgrounds the State Department tries to assure the world that these men can and do speak for their people at home. More money goes to waste!

While Marxists of all nationalities receive a steady flow of dollars the real anti-Communists are left to die in poverty. The latter have committed a grave crime, they are anti-Communists who refuse to compromise with Marxism and who will not persecute Mr. Dulles, that

they will establish a Socialist regime should their countries ever be liberated.

"American Committee for the Liberation From Bolshevism"

This is a semi-official agency which has a State Department man at its helm and receives support from it. The main function of the Committee is to help the people of Russia fight and prepare for the liberation of their country, yet instead of financing anti-Communist organizations or leaders it calls them "reactionaries" and gives its money to the Marxist elements and known Soviet groups.

The Committee lavishes praise upon such people as the NTS who have never done anything but betray its more patriotic members to the MVD/MGB but refuses to aid, in any way, a man called General Arthur Holmstrom Smyslovsky who is the world's leading specialist on Russia; is head of a real anti-Communist organization and was the leader of some 30,000 anti-Red Partisans fighting the Red Army in Russia in 1942-43. Perhaps it is fearful that General Holmstrom-Smyslovsky would fight Communists!

A Reward

As an Englishman, and head of a world-wide News and Political Intelligence organization, I feel that this article, contained from the December, 1955, issue of our bulletin, contains such damning evidence of rampant treason in the United States that the American people must demand from any Presidential Candidate a public assurance that all the hidden reds who will be clarified and upon conviction, a real, one hundred per cent anti-Communist policy adopted and adhered to.

One final word. To those who may scoff at an Englishman writing this, let me say that I have only undertaken this odious task because of my personal belief that the United States remains the only bulwark of freedom against World Communism. Or, at least she will become so, if this treason is stamped out once and for all. Should this, however, be allowed to continue then the entire world is lost.

EDITORS:

For Immediate Release,
In Whole or in Part,
Or as Background
Material

TASK FORCE

Copyrighted 1958, Division of The American Committee, Inc., Washington, D. C.

Vol. 3—No. 6-5

August-September, 1958

INSECURE SECURITY

By Peter J. Huxley-Mythe

Flatwood, Lancashire, England

INTRODUCTORY NOTE, BY EUGENE C. POKORNÝ.—We are combining in this one issue the August and September numbers of **TASK FORCE**, in order to present whole one of the most important articles it has ever been our privilege to publish.

The author, Mr. P. J. Huxley-Mythe of Flatwood, Lancashire, is well known as a responsible journalist, and in head of a world-wide news and political intelligence organization. He is well qualified in every way to write on the issues he discusses.

He claims—and documents his statement—that the U. S. Central Intelligence Agency, "C.I.A." is treacherously built, and that far from defending our Country is actually a menace to our safety.

That U. S. funds, intended to aid Resistance Movements are actually expended on known Communist groups, while legitimate anti-Communist organizations are ignored.

It will be recalled that not long ago a proposed Senate investigation of the C.I.A. was bitterly opposed by Mr. Dulles on the ground of the extreme secrecy of its operations. From the facts revealed here by Mr. Huxley-Mythe, it would appear that such an investigation is imperatively needed, anxiety or no secrecy, if we are to survive as a nation.

The author also sheds some interesting light on the actual effects of "Foreign Aid." He explains how the billions of dollars we have expended in a mission effort to combat

Communism are actually turned to advantage by the Soviet regime! He states, in no many words, "All that the foreign aid program accomplishes is the stabilization of Red power, and the destruction of U. S. financial resources."

From this it would seem that that great American, Gov. J. D. Bache of Ohio, was right in wanting to levy an income tax, a large part of which would be allocated for foreign aid.

What are we going to do about all this? It would seem logical that we should demand of our Senators and Congressmen: 1. That a thorough investigation be made of the C.I.A. If conditions as described by Mr. Huxley-Mythe are true—and his facts permit ready substantiation—then this Agency should be overhauled from top to bottom. Certainly we cannot take chances on the proper operation of this Agency, which deals with the very lifeblood of our nation.

2. Regardless of which party is successful in the November election, the Congress should refuse ANY FURTHER grants-in-aid ANYWHERE, until such a policy is thoroughly re-examined. What is the sense of bleeding America white in order to build up the Red nation? If you believe this to be correct, TELL YOUR SELECTED REPRESENTATIVES SO!

Additional copies of this double issue of **TASK FORCE** may be obtained from our New York office, 120-2-444-44, Room 325, New York 17, N. Y., at the per copy price.

The recent kidnapping of five Russian anti-Communists from New York has caused considerably alarm throughout the United States and more people than ever before believe that the Central Intelligence Agency, the "CIA," under the direction of Mr. Allen Dulles, should be subject to a thorough Senate investigation. For by allowing this kidnapping of the five anti-Communists—and the CIA even granted-material aid to the Red agents who accomplished it—the CIA is either guilty of treacherous negligence or working for Communism.

Allen Dulles and a Red Spy

For too many people reject the idea that Mr. Dulles would allow his multi-million dollar agency to be involved with Red agents or allow it to aid the Kremlin in a propagandist operation—yet certain facts, backed with irrefutable evidence, are sufficient to demand that Mr. Dulles clarify certain matters.

We might first of all explore whether or not he was responsible for the death of many U. S. Red-Hamas agents behind the Iron Curtain who were betrayed by the German traitor and Red spy, Dr. John

Soviet he visited Mr. Dulles in Washington on behalf of the West German Security Office and they had long talked about the secret agents of their country. There is every reason to believe Mr. Dulles knew that John would be a traitor for many years, a Soviet agent, and was preparing him to become one.

We have this letter written by the American spy, which gave away his secret to Dr. John, and he was executed in 1954.

Just prior to John's execution we

Insecure Security

(Continued from page 1)

a complete report on the war-time German rocket station at Peenemuende. Of course they did not say how this report fell into his possession but the German newspaper *Der Staatsanwalt* in the Summer of 1951 printed a detailed account of John's treacherous activities as a member of the Red spy ring "Red Orchestra" and openly stated that the person who gave the Allies this Report was Dr. John, the known Red spy.

Mr. Dulles might also disclose whether or not he received a report from his organization's British counterpart, MI5, in 1952 which said that it had "found" a missing file which said John was pro-Communist.

Finally, the C.I.A. has given large sums of money to the German intelligence organization called "Organization Gehlen" after its director, General R. Gehlen, and in return the former received copies of all reports collected by the German agency. One must therefore wonder why Mr. Dulles did not read the Gehlen report which said that John was a Red spy and take appropriate action. Because John admitted that General Gehlen knew his past record and was trying to expose him. Had Mr. Dulles acted upon this reliable information it is possible that many U.S. agents in Eastern Europe might still be alive.

A C.I.A. Employee

As late as 1951, and perhaps earlier for all that we know, the C.I.A. employed a man whose pro-Communist record stretched back to 1918-19. This was a certain Colonel Martin Hünckler who as a Hungarian journalist in Budapest gave his full support to the bloody and infamous Red revolution of Béla Kun.

Later at the end of World War II, Hünckler was a Colonel in the "Office of Strategic Services" (OSS) stationed in Germany and in charge of a section looking after Hungarians who fell into U.S. captivity. How he lived up to his pro-Communist past is against the policy of the U.S. Army Legal Dept. In Germany he handed over numerous Hungarian Red Communists to the Reds for execution although some of them were American War Criminals. According to a Hungarian American lawyer

who published in New York not only did Hünckler hand over these Poles but received \$200 per head for those persons the Reds wanted to eliminate.

In answer to this grave accusation Hünckler is using the magazine he quotes for Red has claimed of refuting the allegations he states, in his own defense, that he was fulfilling "Superior Orders." His superior officer, head of the European Office of the OSS was none other than Allen Dulles Dulles!

While acting in the C.I.A. in 1951 Hünckler gave a certain Leslie Denney, more commonly known as the "Red Count," because he wrote in a book published in Red Hungary in 1947, "in all that proved good and useful during the revolution in Russia must be realized in Hungary . . ." large sums of the C.I.A.'s funds so that the "Count" could publish a newspaper in Mannheim, Germany, called The Hungarian Communist.

C.I.A. Sponsors a Red Network

Receiving financial and material assistance from the C.I.A. is a Russian emigre organization called "The National Alliance of Russian Solidarity" or more simply by the initials NTS. Yet while the NTS is bitterly anti-Communist group it has had since its creation, and apparently still has links with the Soviet Secret Police. This is known to the C.I.A. but it still continues to support it even though many people may die.

In the United States at our disposal it is impossible to present all the evidence proving that the NTS is part of the Soviet working machine in the West but if the readers should doubt this then they are advised to read the two books "Betrayal" and "The Paid Workers" which can be obtained from THE DEFENDERS OF THE AMERICAN CONSTITUTION, 1255 New Hampshire Ave., N.W., Washington 6, D.C. priced 50 cents each, post free.

C.I.A. Helps Soviets to Kill Anti-Red Russians

In May, 1963, the NTS came to the Americans help, four Russians and four Communists on a mission inside the Soviet Union. Yet so soon had they left the Soviet soil than the KGB agents were waiting for them and their

two anti-Communist had been betrayed by the NTS even before they left the sanctuary of the West. As a result the four were arrested.

When the news of this terrible result of the West the KGB immediately vowed to act quickly to prevent a complete exposure of its Red role. Therefore, no one was particularly surprised when on September 27, 1963, it was announced that a leading member of the NTS, George Miller, alias Nikita Khrushchy, had been arrested and charged with spying for a foreign power. Yet when the full facts became known about the Miller case proof was forthcoming which showed not only was the arrested man innocent but that both the U.S. State Department and the C.I.A. were aware of this but remained determined to railroad him to protect their protégé, the NTS.

The Arrest That Didn't Happen

On the morning of September 1, 1963, three mysterious men, purporting to be U.S. intelligence officers and speaking English, German and Russian, arrested George Miller and confiscated certain documents. Before leaving they also warned Mrs. Miller not to mention the arrest to anyone.

Following this Mrs. Miller had on various times interrogated but there was nothing official about the interrogations. Sometimes she was questioned in a motor car, in an empty house and so on. Due to this she began to suspect her husband had been kidnapped by the Soviets as she was along to the head of Frankfurt's political police. But if the Americans had really arrested him, The chief United Nations envoy and the U.S. Mission officer attached to his department could not or would not, confirm the arrest.

The first real news came on September 27, 1963, when the NTS member, Peter ("Sasha") Leshchenko, announced that his leadership had recruited a Red cell in their midst and had handed themselves to the authorities with the last sentence, "But the American agents never knew he had a hand in it." And when asked if he had been tortured he said, "I was tortured." And the last statement was that he had split October 1, 1963, from the C.I.A. and State Dept. and was now in the Soviet Union.

filed at the U. S. Court in Frankfurt to ascertain what was happening to Mr. Muller. He was told by a Court official that it had examined all the evidence and against him by the NTS and found it was insufficient to warrant a trial and accordingly Muller's release had been ordered on September 29, 1953. To implement the Court's decision the gentleman was given a document signed by Mr. Jonathan, Mr. David White, dated October 21, 1953, and bearing the Reference No. 53-A III 1832, which read that the Frankfurt Attorney General, Mr. Juhnke, had annulled the warrant of arrest of Mrs. Muller—she had not been served—and also ordered Muller's release on the grounds of insufficient evidence.

But Muller was not released. The CIA, in defiance of the Court order, held him under an illegal "security arrest" and collaborating with it in this was the State Department's agency in Germany, the High Commission. The latter's part in this travesty of justice was confirmed when the U. S. High Commissioner announced that N. Khorunzhy, alias Muller had been arrested on September 1 and on the 29th of that month transferred to special detention. Of course neither agency pointed out that Muller had already been exonerated from all guilt by a judicial body!

By placing Muller in "special detention" the CIA and State Dept. showed, once again, that they were determined to protect the Red-controlled NTS even if it meant prostituting justice.

Blackmail and Bribery?

Determined to "prove" Muller guilty and to clear the NTS of a charge of treachery the CIA continually tried to make Mrs. Muller sign a confession incriminating her husband and at a later stage even herself. She refused to do this.

Undeterred, on November 9, 1953, an American officer stopped Mrs. Muller on the street and dragged her two young daughters, aged 9 and 12 years, into a waiting car. The reason given for this was that it would prevent Mrs. Muller escaping to the Soviets. Yet the CIA and the NTS knew Mrs. Muller was not a Red agent because in September, 1953, the NTS leader, George Khrushchev, gave her 300 Marks (\$461)

and the CIA knew of this gift. Having exploded the official reason for kidnapping the two Muller children, only one solution remains: that it was to force Mrs. Muller into signing the confession.

Adding to this there is sufficient reason to believe that the CIA also tried to bribe Mrs. Muller into signing a confession because after her husband's arrest as a leader of the Dulce organization, Lt. Colonel Norton, gave her a "gift" of 1,600 Marks (\$457).

Perhaps Alice Dulce would now care to get two more journalists to tell the American people why his outfit kidnapped two minors and why his agent gave Mrs. Muller \$457 if it wasn't to bribe her into committing perjury.

The "Trial"

This was a complete farce. First Muller revealed that he was forced to work for U. S. Intelligence in 1948 when he fled to West Berlin and if he refused the authorities told him he would be extradited.

Next the Court learned that in 1951 Muller had met in Frankfurt a Soviet agent called Hammer, alias Glockner, and he had been taking orders from the latter until the day he was arrested.

Then came the shock. The main witness for the prosecution was none other than the Soviet agent Muller was supposedly working for. Yet when the defense counsel asked Hammer if Muller had been ordered, by the Americans, to work with the Soviets the witness was told not to answer. He might have said Yes! Further questions which were not answered were: was it not correct that Hammer was in receipt of U. S. money prior to his first meeting with Muller; had Hammer been paid by the CIA to testify; had he been duly tried as a Soviet agent; hadn't he, Hammer, been ordered to keep silent about the NTS's treacherous role in this affair?

Although the NTS had publicly boasted that it had provided the evidence against Muller it was not produced in Court and Lt. Colonel Norton of the CIA was not allowed to take the witness stand even though he had been frequently mentioned as having interrogated Muller.

During the "trial" it was brought to

light that the only new evidence the prosecution could give the Court since it had ordered Muller's release was the "confession" signed under pressure.

The outcome of the trial was a foregone conclusion. Muller claimed the right to speak in his own defense although he did shout out that the NTS was a Soviet outfit, was sentenced to fourteen years imprisonment while his wife, Elisabeth, received two years.

Sentence Revised

In January, 1955, the U. S. Supreme Court of Appeal in Germany re-examined the evidence against Muller-Khorunzhy, the prisoners being represented by their respective counsels.

Speaking for Muller, his lawyer pointed out that the accusations made against the NTS showed that it was clearly an agent provocateur organization working for the Soviets. This being so it was ridiculous to suggest that Muller was a Soviet agent spying upon a Red network.

Taking this argument into consideration the Court reduced Muller's sentence to five years' imprisonment.

As the Court was prepared to accept the fact that the NTS is an openly national Soviet organization the CIA's consistent support of this group; its use of terrorist tactics to make a case against an individual to clear the NTS of further suspicion can mean only one thing—that it is heavily infiltrated and long overdue for investigation.

CIA Again Runaway Rock From Exposure

Not long after the NTS-Muller affair two leading German publications, the weekly magazine *Deutsche Illustrirte* and the newspaper *Abendpost* ran a series of articles exposing the NTS as part of the Soviet sabotage network in the West.

These articles were so conclusive that had they not been countered by the CIA in some way then it too would have been exposed and this had to be prevented at all costs.

These articles were so conclusive that not only did they produce the Soviet spy, Hammer, alias Glockner, in his case against Muller the CIA produced with true Hollywood-type publicity the

...a condemned Soviet agent, Captain of the MVD, Nikolai Khokhlov.

Due to the world-wide publicity accorded the Khukhiv story everyone is aware that he allegedly came to the West to "assassinate" an NTS leader, George Okolovich, a man who had before the war been condemned as a Red spy by Polish Intelligence.

By introducing Captain Khokhlov, Allen Dulles proclaimed that he was determined to protect the NTS and his own agency. This bring confirmed by a pro-NTS journalist writing a series of authoritative articles in the British weekly *John Bull* when he told that not even the C.I.A. operatives who interrogated Khokhlov were satisfied with the integrity of his story.

long after the Khukhlov affair, and in other sections of the Russian emigre press, illustrated just how phoney Khukhlov's story was, the PTS leader Ondzhivich was forced to tell a journalist that not even he believed all that Khukhlov had said. Yet by now the entire world, including the American people, had been hoodwinked by Mr. Allen Dulles who, as we have seen above, reversed the opinions of his own men on the spot when they said they were not

introduced with the Red Captain's story. Now the VTS silences all criticism by pointing to Kholodilov and saying that the organization cannot be Communist because the Kremlin sent one of its killers to murder its leader!

Although this particular episode is no longer front page news it is a further example of America's leading security agency supporting an openly revealed Red network and therefore Mr. Dulles should be made to speak. And if he says that he must remain silent for reasons of "security" he should be forcibly reminded that he, and his operatives, are paid servants of the American people and are therefore answerable to them and not just to their own urges.

The West is now waging a struggle for its very existence and one of the first lines of defense against terrorism is its Security forces which appears to be not only immature but a minority in themselves.

It is to be noted that this committee is not to be a permanent body.

material against the NTS we can only say that they should read the book, "THE PAID WRECKERS", which, according to the head of the Russian anti-Communist Centre in New York, contains such damning evidence that the NTS should be made to answer the charges therein!

The preceding paragraphs of this article can leave little doubt in any unbiased person's mind that treason is rampant within the "Central Intelligence Agency," but this is not confined to this organization. Recently in a speech made by Senator Jenner, headed "The Dual Government of the United States," it was disclosed that there is an organized group inside the U. S. Government which pursues a pro-Communist policy in complete disregard to the desires of the American people speaking through their representatives in Washington. True President Eisenhower has many swarthy pockies in the Capital, and there are a number of things wrong with Washington. . . ." "At times," he said, "it is difficult to keep in touch with the Nation's thinking." (Times, May 16, 1955.)

What the President failed to say, although he alluded to it, was that the people who prevented the Negro's thoughts and desires being put into practice are professional employees who, remaining static irrespective of the controlling Political Party, have amassed such power that they can dominate all politicians and other holders

Who Sells the Red "Bill of Control" to the President?

Numerous authoritative political observers have stated that President Eisenhower was elected by a vast majority because the electorate believed that he would cleanse Washington of the various Red policy-making agencies and because he would serve conservatives within the Communist

"Nor can we forget that," as Professor Lynes Byers of Teachers College frequently said: "there are even greater things than power." By an "even greater" he meant the ideals, the hopes and aspirations of humanity, those above the soul and spirit which great men in history have valued above all else, material wealth favoring the

—W. H. ——

influence imminent. They longer risk a war of possible annihilation than grasp a peace which would be certain extinction of free men's ideas and ideals. (General Hauser speaking to his students at Columbia University.)

Not only did the President believe what he said then but he confirmed it in his speech on the State of the Union, February 2, 1953, "We shall never acquiesce in the enslavement of any people in order to purchase fenced gains for ourselves."

It was these suppressed blues that the American people voted for, but within a few weeks of the newly-installed President's message he was forced to abandon his proclaimed principles and accept a pro-Communist policy of "Containment." Later, when he understood the power of the "Dual Government" he was again forced to alter his policy and was then advanced "Containment," the one thing he vowed he would never do.

We say that Mr. Eisenhower - as I recall because on February 8, 1953, he said, in a message relayed by "Radio Free Europe": "While we maintain vigilance at home and abroad, we must help intensify the will of freedom in the Satellite countries behind the Iron Curtain -" "We have not known freedom in the past. They now

But within one year of this his Government's representative did not even attempt to combat the recognition of the various Red Governments of the satellites in the United Nations. Or, in other words, in spite of all his showy phrases the President acknowledged that the Communist agents were the people with whom he was prepared to negotiate. Instead of friendship the embittered nations received a kick in the back.

Copyright 2007 by the author

against offenders in the territory of a neutrally foreign state in which the existing armed force is by consent quartered or in passage, remains to the existing sovereign."

If this right had not been given away by our representatives there would now be no status-of-forces problem.

Defense Department statistics show that up to November 30, 1955, there were 10,249 of our servicemen subjected to foreign jurisdiction. You are supposed to be impressed by the fact that 2,600 of these were tried and sentenced to confinement, because that is only 23.9 per cent of the total. If only 266 were imprisoned after trials which denied them the privileges of our Constitution does that make the treaty less onerous? And what of the 2,595 who were tried and punished by fine? Does the fact that they paid only in money make the loss of their constitutional rights more bearable?

The report does not tell you that in the same period only three servicemen of foreign countries met with any criminal prosecution in this country. This shows up as ridiculous the reciprocal features of the agreement which its proponents like to prate about.

Neither does the report tell you that in some foreign countries it is common practice to try a civil claim for damages along with the prosecution for crime. That is one of the reasons for so many arrests and charges—a form of blackmail. An accused can pay and sometimes secure a waiver of the charges, hoping his own court-martial authorities will ignore the charge. That happens, you know. Or the accused can stand trial and have his guilt determined on testimony of witnesses who have a financial stake in securing his guilt.

And is it not true—and I think my colleagues will agree with me—that by the Status of Forces Agreement we set up two classes of citizens in our military?

We have the boy who is indoctrined and born in this country who has the protection of the Constitution. The Bill of Rights extends to him. If he is an offender, he loses those rights. So we have two classes of citizens among our military.

July 7, 1956

The defenders of the NATO Status of Forces Agreement and similar agree-

ments apparently point to the reciprocal features of the agreements as justification for their acts. They ignore the fact that without some measure of equality there can scarcely be complete reciprocity. This means equality in the number of men affected, equality of jurisdiction, equality in the facilities available for use and other physical assets.

I have pointed out before that we are not interested in having the right to try foreign servicemen in our courts. The only ones who consider this an advantage are those who picture a serviceman from another nation committing a terrible crime. Only three foreign servicemen have been subjected to any charges in our courts, whereas over 10,000 of our men have been accused in foreign courts. That number has been increased since last November when the count was made, but recent statistics are not yet available. We know that there are only a few thousand foreign servicemen affected by the agreements, whereas hundreds of thousands of Americans are deprived of important rights through the surrender of criminal jurisdiction to foreign nations.

It is only in foreign courts where we have appeals of convictions by the prosecution which obviously arise out of caprice or prejudice of the prosecutor. These officials think they are better qualified to assess punishment than the court.

Last April in France an American was sentenced to 2 months' imprisonment and \$1000 francs' fine for assault and battery. The public prosecutor almost immediately notified the Staff Judge Advocate that he had filed an appeal, because in his opinion the sentence was not severe enough. It has already been demonstrated that the higher courts of France consider any appeal by the prosecutor as an official demand for increased penalty.

There are also adduced as this practice, since generally their system of law follows the civil code. It has recently been disclosed that 2 marines, who were earlier sentenced, respectively, to 2 and 3 years' imprisonment, which was suspended, were tried again because the prosecution had dissatisfaction with

the sentence of the lower court. The court of appeal, which has jurisdiction over the lower court, can overturn the sentence and start a new trial.

An appeal in Japan amounts to trial and trial. The court has constitutional latitude in suspending the case and considering new evidence. The court may impose a different sentence. This is double jeopardy. It is pure caprice.

Even though a serviceman has been tried for an offense in a foreign court the military authorities, under the NATO Status of Forces Agreement, may try him also for any violation of rules of discipline arising from the same act. This is an apparent double jeopardy which would be avoided if the accused had been tried in the first instance by court-martial and all degrees of the offense had been considered at one trial.

The hearings before the House Foreign Affairs Committee disclosed that neither the State Department nor the Defense Department in their negotiations had given any thought to the laws, procedures or penalties imposed in other countries. No heed was paid to the centuries old saying that might be the place of imprisonment of an unfortunate culprit. The blithe way in which our diplomats surrendered the constitutional rights of our servicemen, while maintaining their own immunity, will always remain a blot on the conscience of diplomacy.

We regret that upon Mr. Bow's present tour visiting the House, Mr. Bow's remarks in full but those excerpts will demonstrate how he has continued his great fight, with unswerving facts, logically presented. The Record contains numerous expressions of commendation of Mr. Bow by his colleagues, of which the following is typical:

Mr. Judge: Mr. Bow, I wish to compliment the members from Ohio on the struggle which he has been making to protect the constitutional rights of the servicemen of this Nation, and I join with him in the hope that during this session of the Congress the progressive measures which he has outlined will be adopted.

and Parties in the countries receiving dollar-aid tell the people that their Governments are nothing but puppets of Wall Street; that the reason America gives this money is to create a World dominated from Wall Street and unlike the Communist Parties the American financiers will only exploit the workers.

To sum this up briefly (and if the reader desires additional data he can find it in the booklet, "BETRAYAL"), all that the Foreign Aid program accomplishes is the stabilization of Red power and the devaluation of U. S. financial reserves.

Reds Plan Phoney Freedom U. S. Plans a Continuation of Communism!

Today, a third of the human race is in fatal bondage to Communist dictatorship. But we do not regard this as immutable.

"There is, we know, vast human day, content among 770 million people whom International Communism rules.

That comes from the enslavement of labor, the suppression of religion and of individual initiative and the national humiliation of the satellite countries.

**LIBERATION NORMALLY
COMES FROM WITHIN IF HOPE IS
CONSTANTLY SUSTAINED FROM
WITHOUT. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE
DOING IN MANY WAYS.** (John F.
Dulles in a Radio-Television Broadcast
on November 29, 1954.)

Prior to the last presidential election both Mr. Eisenhower and Mr. J. F. Dulles promised to give financial and material aid to the various anti-Communist underground movements behind the Iron Curtain but since they have assumed the helm of America they have repeatedly refused to honor their promises.

In fact, far from helping the anti-Communists who could rid the world of the Red pestilence if they were given a mere fraction of the pro-Red Foreign Aid money, the present administration has given the cause of international Marxism undiluted success.

When this article was first written I said that the Soviets intended to hold the elections in various of the Satellite states to raise the pressure upon them. This has now become public knowledge. Mr. J. F. Dulles has greeted this proposal as a step forward towards

liberty. Yet what the Secretary of State did not say was, that in the event of these "free" elections he and his colleagues are prepared to recognize the various "National Committees" as the only voice of the East-European nations and if this was done then a continuation of Communism would be assured.

The Romanian National Committee

The "anti-Communist" leaders of this group which feeds fever with the State Department is a self-confessed Soviet agent called Mr. Vicosanu who was, from 1937 onward President of "The Friends of Soviet Russia," the most active Red outfit in Romania.

While Romanian soldiers were dying in their fight against the Red Army Mr. Vicosanu, working in the Romanian Foreign Department, was supplying the Kremlin with classified information. This he admitted in Paris, France, in 1952.

Not content with this, when his country wanted to surrender at the end of the war and to save some vestiges of self-Government, Mr. Vicosanu deliberately withheld this offer from the Soviets so that the latter could later demand "unconditional surrender."

Working with Mr. Vicosanu is Dr. Sabiu Manolescu, who during the war was director of Military Statistics yet, as a side-line, the good Doctor had in his basement a secret Red group who issued a Communist newspaper, *Free Romania*.

This same type of individual fills the other so-called National Committees and for this reason not only will these men be unacceptable to their fellow-citizens at home but the vast majority of the emigre nations refuse to have anything to do with them. Yet, in spite of their pro-Communist backgrounds the State Department tries to assure the world that these men can and do speak for their people at home. More money goes to waste!

While Millions of all nationalities receive a steady flow of dollars, the real anti-Communists are left to die in poverty. The latter have committed a grave crime, they are anti-Communists who refuse to compromise with Marxism and who will not promise Mr. Dulles that

they will continue a Socialist regime should their countries ever be liberated.

"American Committee for the Liberation From Bolshevism"

This is a semi-official agency which has a State Department man at its helm and receives support from it. The main function of the Committee is to help the people of Russia fight and prepare for the liberation of their country, yet instead of financing anti-Communist organizations or leaders it calls them "reactionaries" and gives its money to the Marxist elements and known Soviet groups.

The Committee initiates pressure upon such people as the KGB who have never done anything but betray its more patriotic members to the KGB/MGB but refuses to aid, in any way, a man called General Arthur Radulescu-Soyakovsky who is the world's leading specialist on Russia's handful of real anti-Communist organizations and was the leader of some 30,000 anti-Red Partisans fighting the Red Army in Russia in 1943-45. Perhaps it is fearful that General Radulescu-Soyakovsky would fight Communism!

As an Englishman, and head of a world-wide News and Political Intelligence organization, I feel that this article, condensing from the December, 1956, issue of our bulletin, contains such damning evidence of rampant treason in the United States that the American people must demand from any Presidential Candidate a public assurance that all the above named half-nuts be sterilized and upon election, fired, and hundred per cent anti-Communist policy adopted and adhered to.

One final word. To those who may dislike me for having written this, let me say that I have only undertaken this article task because it is my personal belief that the United States must tip the military balance of Power against World Communism. Or, at least she will become so, if this treason is stamped out root and for all. Should this, however, be allowed to continue then the entire world is lost.

... again he said: "... to destroy our liberty and to enslave the world, Communists use every conceivable weapon: subversion, bribery, corruption, military attack. . . ." "We must meet this threat with courage and firmness. . . ."

To counter the accusation we make here the "Dual Government" explains that since the death of Stalin everything has altered and we can now come to terms with the Kremlin's present leaders. Yet this is treachery of the worst type!

The posted Marshal Bulganin, far from being an honorable soldier on whose word one can rely has never held a military command but was the head of the Red Army Secret Police that was notorious for its brutality. Furthermore, Bulganin, a Communist of long standing, said when speaking at the Warsaw Conference of Satellite countries on May 11, 1955: "The new Government of the USSR will continue the policies of peace based upon the possibilities of co-existence between two worlds of different ideologies, conforming to the doctrines of Lenin."

Now, as any future peace is to be based upon Lenin's ideas it is as well that the U. S. State Department is reminded that the Red Butcher said this: **"IN PURSUIT OF OUR AIMS WE MAY, WITH ALL THE POWERS OF DESTRUCTION, COLLABORATE WITH SOME CAPITALIST POWERS. . . . WE MAY EVEN CONTRACT WITH THEM SOME ALLIANCES, TO BRING THEM INTO A FALSE SENSE OF SECURITY. . . . YET OUR AIM IS, AND ALWAYS MUST BE, THE DOMINATION OF THE WORLD."** (U. S. *Lenin, "Zarubezhnoye Prosveteniye."*)

Sir Winston Churchill Says Co-Existence Means Death

"... A monster has been born into our modern world . . . it possesses the savagery of savagery without its savagery, the fanaticism of religion without God, the exploitation of human passions and appetites without any ideal beyond their gratification — and that not curbed."

"I have repeatedly warned my Liberal and Socialist friends . . . that they will not get any satisfaction out of the

Soviet Communists. We are in the presence of a godless despotism which, if left unduly leniently treated, would reduce great nations, nay, all mankind to the conditions of the White Ant. Or again it is a cancer feeding and operating itself upon the starving body, thriving by the very processes which tortures and destroys its victim.

"Unstirred by this advice, many have tried the experiment. All have been disillusioned. . . . All in turn have sought to stop the cancerous band. All in turn have resisted, injured, infected, or at least deluded by its still, persistent voice. . . ." (London's *Daily Telegraph*, December 14, 1950.)

As Sir Winston Churchill so correctly said, any form of co-existence with the Soviets leaves the co-exists infected with the hated Red cancerous growth that will, in time, devour the body; in this case the remaining Free World.

From the above we realize that appeasement can only result in defeat and unless the professional employees are unswayed and a realistic anti-Communist policy adopted than a Red One World State remains but a matter of time.

The West Re-Arms the Soviets

In spite of all the talk in Washington and London about opposing Communism, the West, without the consent of its people, is now saving, for the second time, the collapse of the Soviet regime by increasing its exports to the USSR.

Signs of the Soviets' crumbling economic structure have been evident for the past few years and President Eisenhower reported that there was evidence pointing to an economic crisis within the Red Bloc. Yet instead of the West halting its exports to the Soviets, an action compatible with true anti-Communism and one which would have forced the Kremlin to devote less to the manufacture of armaments, it increased them.

The United States recently lifted a major part of its ban on exports to the USSR and when Senator Ferguson asked why America should help the Reds out of their difficulties and so prolong the enslavement of large sections of the world, Mr. Stassen, acting as the Government's spokesman, replied that this

was done to aid the United Nations to prevent world war.

What this "advice" really means was that by allowing weapons export to enter the "backward" countries the Government could provide a few nations to oppose their slaves and in the same time provide immediately bases that will be eventually used against the United States.

It would be very interesting to know who told the President to lift the embargo on exports to the Red countries because the persons responsible for giving this "advice" are unknown. As one fraction of this right wing pro-Communist we quote a letter from the U. S. Secretary of Defense, Mr. C. E. Wilson, to Senator McCarthy:

"This department believes that any contribution to the 'military potential' often directly and almost always indirectly contributes to any country's military potential."

Soviets Thank U. S. for Foreign Aid

For over 10 years past the American taxpayers' money has been sent to all parts of the world because the same mysterious "advisers" say that by helping a country prosper it will prevent the respective Communist Party memberships from increasing. This, instead of combating Communism has become one of its greatest supports and therefore the money is poured down a bottomless drain.

There are two reasons why Foreign Aid gives succor to the Communists.

Firstly, the majority of countries in receipt of dollars have used them to enlarge their industries and as the result of this, the manufactured articles are then sent behind the Iron Curtain to help the Soviet regime. England is a prime example of this and one may well ask if by receiving Foreign Aid Britain is not a more determined opponent of Communism. The answer is a plain and blunt NO! Britain not only ships vast quantities of materials to the Red countries but the Government is determined to conduct even if it means destroying the U. S.

Secondly, the various Commer-